


REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 30 March 95	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED technical; 01OCT94 to 30SEPT95	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Novel unsymmetrical polydiacetylenes as materials for second and third order nonlinear optics.			5. FUNDING NUMBERS C: N00014-90-J-1148	
6. AUTHOR(S) C.E. Masse, K. Vander Wiede, W.H. Kim, X.L. Jiang, J. Kumar, S.K. Tripathy.			R&T Code: 4132016 Dr. JoAnn Milliken	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Massachusetts Lowell Department of Chemistry 1 University Avenue Lowell, MA 01854			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 1148-95-03	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of Naval Research-Chemistry Division Code 1113 Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) The objective of this investigation was to develop stable nonlinear optical (NLO) materials which possess both second and third order NLO properties. These materials were envisioned as having a polydiacetylene (PDA) backbone with a second order active NLO chromophoric substituent. The choice of a PDA backbone in this investigation was twofold. In terms of third order NLO properties, the extensive p- conjugation of the PDA backbone leads to large ultrafast third order effects. In terms of second order NLO properties, the high thermal stability and rigidity of the PDA matrix in a "perfect" polymeric single crystal is expected to prevent the randomization of the NLO chromophores in the noncentrosymmetric environment. This paper focuses on the synthesis, structural analysis, and NLO properties of the unsymmetrical diacetylene monomers, ((9-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-(4- urethanyl-4'-nitrobiphenyl)-nona-2,4-diyne, and ((9-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-(4-urethanyl-4'-nitroazobenzene)-nona-2,4-diyne.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Nonlienar optical materials; second and third order NLO; polydiacetylene backbone; large ultra fast third order effects; high thermal stability and rigidity; unsymmetrical diacetylene monomers.			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 20	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18  
298-102

19950407 195

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

GRANT N00014-90-J-1148

R&T Code 4132016

Scientific Officer: Dr. JoAnn Milliken

Technical Report No. 95-03

Novel unsymmetrical polydiacetylenes as  
materials for second and third order nonlinear  
optics.

by

C.E. Masse, K. Vander Wiede, W.H. Kim, X.L.  
Jiang, J. Kumar, S.K. Tripathy.

Submitted to

Chemistry of Materials

University of Massachusetts Lowell  
Department of Chemistry  
Lowell, Massachusetts

March 30, 1995

Accession For		
NTIS	CRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced		<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification .....		
By .....		
Distribution /		
Availability Codes		
Dist	Avail and/or Special	
A-1		

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the  
United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its  
distribution is unlimited.

# Novel Unsymmetrical Polydiacetylenes as Materials for Second and Third Order Nonlinear Optics

C.E. Masse, K. Vander Wiede, W.H. Kim, X.L. Jiang, J. Kumar, and  
S.K. Tripathy\*

Center for Advanced Materials, Departments of Chemistry and  
Physics, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA 01854.

The objective of this investigation was to develop stable nonlinear optical (NLO) materials which possess both second and third order NLO properties. These materials were envisioned as having a polydiacetylene (PDA) backbone with a second order active NLO chromophoric substituent. The choice of a PDA backbone in this investigation was twofold. In terms of third order NLO properties, the extensive  $\pi$ -conjugation of the PDA backbone leads to large ultrafast third order effects. In terms of second order NLO properties, the high thermal stability and rigidity of the PDA matrix in a "perfect" polymeric single crystal is expected to prevent the randomization of the NLO chromophores in the noncentrosymmetric environment. This paper focuses on the synthesis, structural analysis, and NLO properties of the unsymmetrical diacetylene monomers, ((9-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-(4-urethanyl-4'-nitrobiphenyl)-nona-2,4-diyne, and ((9-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-(4-urethanyl-4'-nitroazobenzene)-nona-2,4-diyne.

## Introduction

There is significant current interest in polydiacetylenes (PDAs) as nonlinear optical (NLO) materials due to the extensive  $\pi$ -conjugation along their backbones.<sup>1, 2</sup> PDAs are formed through a topochemical 1,4-addition of the corresponding diacetylene monomers.<sup>3</sup> A careful choice of high entropy side groups in the diacetylene monomer can enhance the solubility of the PDAs, which are normally insoluble in common organic solvents. An example is the poly (n-BCMU) series of diacetylenes in which flexible urethanyl sidegroups incorporating a number of methylene spacers produces a PDA which is soluble in common organic solvents.<sup>4</sup>

The extensive  $\pi$ -conjugation of the PDA backbone leads to large and ultrafast third order NLO effects ( $\chi^{(3)} \sim 10^{-9}$ - $10^{-10}$  esu).<sup>5</sup> The substitution of a second order NLO moiety consisting of a donor group, a  $\pi$ -conjugated bridge, and an acceptor group as the side group on the PDA backbone could lead to a polymeric material with both large second and third order NLO properties. Further, enhancement in the third order properties through cascade effects may be possible. The electroactive sidegroups may be used to modify and modulate the backbone electronic structure as well.

This investigation is centered around two unsymmetrical diacetylene monomers in which each contained a highly flexible urethanyl side group ( $R_1' = R_2' = (CH_2)_4O(CO)NHCH_2(CO)OC_4H_9$ ) and a chromophoric substituent. The chromophoric substituents chosen were:  $R_1 = -CH_2O(CO)NH(C_6H_4)_2NO_2$  (I) and  $R_2 = -CH_2O(CO)NH(C_6H_4)N=N(C_6H_4)NO_2$  (II) (Figure 1). The high entropy flexible urethanyl side group was chosen to satisfy the monomer packing requirements for polymerization and enhance the solubility of the PDAs. The chromophores utilize biphenyl and azobenzene  $\pi$ -bridges with urethanyl and nitro functionalities as the donor and acceptor groups, respectively. This paper will focus on the synthesis

and polymerization of the diacetylene monomers and the NLO properties of the corresponding polymers.

### **Insert Figure 1**

**Experimental Methodology I.** The synthesis of ((9-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-(4-urethanyl-4'-nitrobiphenyl)-nona-2,4-diyne was carried out via the following scheme:

### **Insert "Synthesis of Monomer I"**

#### **Monomer I Preparation**

**Chemicals.** Butyl isocyanatoacetate was obtained from Eastman Kodak Company, 4,4'-dinitrobiphenyl was obtained from TCI America Organic Chemicals, and trichloromethylchloroformate was obtained from Lancaster Synthesis. All of the above mentioned chemicals were used as received. All other chemicals were obtained from Aldrich Chemical and used as received.

**Synthesis of 6-Bromo-5-Hexyn-1-ol.** Bromine (0.1M) was added dropwise to a NaOH/H<sub>2</sub>O solution (0.02M/100ml) under stirring at 0-5°C. A pale yellowish solution of NaOBr was observed to form immediately. 5-Hexyn-1-ol (0.01M) was added to 25mL of 1,4 Dioxane to increase the solubility of the compound. The NaOBr solution was added dropwise to the above mixture over 30 minutes at 5-10°C under nitrogen and vigorously stirred for 30 minutes. This mixture was extracted with ethyl ether, dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and a pale yellow liquid was obtained by removing the solvent. Yield: 55% IR(KBr): 3338cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH), 2217cm<sup>-1</sup> (C≡C)

**Synthesis of ((6-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-bromo-1-hexyne.** To a solution of butyl isocyanatoacetate (0.055M) and 6-Bromo-5-Hexyn-1-ol (0.05M) in 50mL of dry THF, 3-5 drops of dibutyltin dilaurate and 3-5 drops of triethylamine were added. The mixture was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. A brown liquid is obtained after removal of the solvent. Yield: 90%  
IR(KBr):  $3305\text{cm}^{-1}$  (NH),  $1690\text{cm}^{-1}$  (C=O)

**Synthesis of ((9-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl) nona-2,4-diyn-1-ol (BNDO).** BNDO was prepared via the Cadiot-Chodkiewicz coupling of ((6-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-bromo-1-hexyne and propargyl alcohol.<sup>6</sup> A catalytic solution of CuCl, 70% aqueous ethylamine (20 mL),  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}\cdot\text{HCl}$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (2g/20ml), and 100 mL of methanol was prepared in a 3-necked round bottomed flask. The reaction was carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere. The propargyl alcohol (0.075M) was added to the catalytic solution in one portion with the formation of a yellow solution. ((6-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-bromo-1-hexyne (0.05M) in 20mL of methanol was added dropwise over a period of 2 hours at a temperature of 30-35°C. The reaction was continued overnight. After the reaction is completed, a large portion of the methanol was removed and the mixture was poured into ice cold water under stirring. The white solid was filtered, dried, and recrystallized from benzene. Yield: 60%  
IR(KBr):  $3321\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $3285\text{cm}^{-1}$  (OH and NH),  $2256\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$ ),  $1693\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $1657\text{cm}^{-1}$  (C=O)

**Synthesis of 4-Amino-4'-nitrobiphenyl.** The synthesis was based on the method of Sherwood et al. with some modifications: 4,4'-Dinitrobiphenyl (5.0g/.020m) was dissolved in a minimum amount of boiling ethanol.<sup>7</sup> Powdered sulfur (0.9g/.028m) was

dissolved in a warm aqueous sodium sulfide nonahydrate solution (1.25M, 7.2g  $\text{Na}_2\text{S} \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in 24 mL of distilled water). The polysulfide solution was added dropwise to the alcoholic solution of 4,4'-Dinitrobiphenyl over a 20 minute period. After refluxing the reaction mixture for 20 minutes, the mixture was evaporated to dryness on a steam bath with a nitrogen purge. The resulting residue was boiled in 100 mL of distilled water to dissolve inorganic impurities. The mixture was allowed to cool and the crude product collected by gravity filtration. The filtrate containing the inorganic salts was discarded. The crude product was extracted with boiling water and subsequently with boiling, aqueous 20% Hydrochloric acid (16 mL of concd Hydrochloric acid in 100 mL of water). To remove any remaining product, the residue was extracted with boiling, concd Hydrochloric acid. The filtrate was allowed to cool, gravity filtered, and the extracts rendered basic with an aqueous ammonia solution. The orange product was collected by suction filtration and washed with hot water. The crude product was recrystallized from a hot, saturated methylene chloride solution to give bright orange crystals. The yield of 4-Amino-4'-nitrobiphenyl was 2.0g. Yield: 48%. mp 203-204°C

IR(KBr): 3490 $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 3385 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (N-H stretch); 1629 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (N-H Bend); 1496 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{asym}}$  (N=O)<sub>2</sub>); 1332 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{sym}}$  (N=O)<sub>2</sub>); 826 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (C-N stretch);  $^1\text{H}$  NMR(DMSO- $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  = 5.64 (s, 2H), 6.72 (d, 2H), 7.56 (d, 2H), 7.80 (d, 2H), 8.24 (d, 2H); UV-Vis(Methanol): 247nm, 371nm ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ ), 500nm ( $\lambda_{\text{cutoff}}$ ).

**Synthesis of 4-isocyanato-4'-nitrobiphenyl.** To a 50mL 3-necked round bottomed flask equipped with a reflux condenser fitted with a calcium chloride drying tube, a thermometer, and a

magnetic stirring bar was added 25 mL of anhydrous dioxane, 4-Amino-4'-nitrobiphenyl (.50g/.0023m), and trichloromethylchloroformate (.56mL/.0046m). The mixture is stirred and heated at 55-60°C. The yellow solid formed upon addition of the trichloromethylchloroformate dissolves after approximately 30 minutes. The reaction is monitored by TLC and the heating discontinued after 10 hours. The yield of yellowish orange 4-isocyanato-4'-nitrobiphenyl was .40g. Yield: 80% mp 99-100°C  
IR(KBr): 2267cm<sup>-1</sup> (N=C=O); 1759cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O); 1595cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar. Ring); 1510cm<sup>-1</sup> (ν<sub>assym</sub> (N=O)<sub>2</sub>); 1343 cm<sup>-1</sup>(ν<sub>sym</sub> (N=O)<sub>2</sub>)

**Synthesis of Diacetylene Monomer I.** To a solution of 4-isocyanato-4'-nitrobiphenyl (.34g/.0014m) and BNDO (.60g/.0019m) in 50 mL of dry THF, 3-5 drops of dibutyltin dilaurate and 3-5 drops of triethylamine were added. The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature and monitored by TLC. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude diacetylene monomer isolated. The crude product was recrystallized from methylene chloride to give yellowish orange crystals. The yield of monomer I was .66g. Yield: 86%  
IR(KBr): 3319cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH); 2269cm<sup>-1</sup> (w, C≡C); 1693cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O of ester); 1657cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O of urethane); 1540cm<sup>-1</sup> (ν<sub>assym</sub> (N=O)<sub>2</sub>); 1344cm<sup>-1</sup> (ν<sub>sym</sub> (N=O)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): δ = 1.18 (m, 9H, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>); 2.45 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.05 (m, 8H, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>); 4.14 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 4.91 (s, 1H, NH); 7.64 (s, 1H, NH); 7.91 (d, 4H, ArH); 8.27 (d, 4H, ArH).



**Experimental Methodology II.** The synthesis of ((9-Butoxy carbonyl) methyl urethanyl)-1-(4-urethanyl-4'-nitroazobenzene)-nona-2,4-diyne was carried out via the following scheme:

### Insert "Synthesis of Monomer II"

#### Monomer II Preparation

The synthesis of BNDO follows the same procedure as reported for Monomer I. The synthesis of the chromophoric isocyanate and attachment of the isocyanate to BNDO follows.

**Synthesis of 4-isocyanato-4'-nitroazobenzene.** The reaction conditions are the same as those above using 4-Amino-4'-nitroazobenzene (Disperse Orange 3, 1.0g/.0041m) and trichloromethylchloroformate (1.0mL/.0082m). The yield of the red product was .84g. Yield: 76% mp 109-110°C  
IR(KBr): 2259cm<sup>-1</sup> (N=C=O); 1763cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O); 1602cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar. Ring); 1520cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\nu_{\text{assym}}$  (N=O)<sub>2</sub>); 1343cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\nu_{\text{sym}}$  (N=O)<sub>2</sub>)

**Synthesis of Diacetylene Monomer II.** The reaction conditions are the same as above using 4-isocyanato-4'-nitroazobenzene (.80g/.0030m) and BNDO (1.0g/.0032m). The crude product was recrystallized from acetonitrile to give red crystals. The yield of monomer II was 1.4g. Yield: 82%  
IR(KBr): 3291cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH); 2257 (w, C $\equiv$ C); 1708cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O of ester); 1656cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O of urethane); 1521cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\nu_{\text{assym}}$  (N=O)<sub>2</sub>); 1343cm<sup>-1</sup> ( $\nu_{\text{sym}}$  (N=O)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.20 (m, 9H, C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>); 2.50 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.00 (m, 8H, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>); 4.15 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); 4.90 (s, 1H, NH); 7.75 (s, 1H, NH); 8.00 (d, 4H, ArH); 8.45 (d, 4H, ArH).

**NLO Measurements.** The initial investigation of the second order NLO properties of monomer I, monomer II, and their corresponding polymers was performed in the powdered state versus urea. In addition, second harmonic generation (SHG) was carried out using monolayers and bilayers of monomer I and its corresponding polymer. The films were prepared using the Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) technique. The second harmonic coefficient ( $d_{33}$ ) was measured at 1.064  $\mu\text{m}$  laser radiation relative to Y-cut single crystal quartz. Both methods utilized an experimental set up discussed previously.<sup>8</sup>

**Polymer Preparation.** Both polymers were prepared by annealing the monomer samples in an oil bath at 100°C ( $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) for 24 hours.

**FT-Raman Measurements.** The Raman spectra were recorded by a Perkin-Elmer 1760X FTIR spectrophotometer equipped with a FT-Raman accessory in a 180 degree optical collection geometry. The excitation was achieved at 1.064  $\mu\text{m}$  wavelength and 100 mW of laser power with a cw Nd:YAG laser. The scattered radiation from the sample is reflected into the FTIR spectrometer and is detected by a liquid nitrogen cooled InGaAs detector. A wavenumber resolution of 4 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  was set for all the measurements. A scan speed of 0.1  $\text{cm/s}$  was used, and a total accumulation of 32 scans was performed for all samples.

## Results and Discussion

Monomer I exhibited conversion from a yellowish orange crystal to a red polymer upon treatment either with UV irradiation

at 254 nm or thermal annealing at 100°C for a period of 24 hours. Monomer II turned from dark orange to a dark red polymer upon polymerization. Monomer free samples of the PDAs formed from monomers I and II were obtained by Soxhlet extraction of the unreacted monomer from the partially polymerized samples with methylene chloride. The initial NLO measurements were carried out on powder samples as described earlier and resulted in effective  $d$  coefficients which are respectively 7 times and 20 times smaller relative to powdered urea at 1.064  $\mu\text{m}$  for PDAs I and II (Table I). The second harmonic coefficients ( $d_{33}$ ) of an LB bilayer of monomer I and an LB monolayer of PDA I were found to be 12pm/V and 23 pm/V respectively (Table I).

The FT-Raman spectra of polycrystalline samples of Monomer I and the corresponding monomer free polymer are shown in figure 2. Monomer I shows vibrational bands at  $2261\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{C}\equiv\text{C}}$ ) and  $1592\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$ ). The monomer free polymer showed two peaks at  $2102\text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $1481\text{cm}^{-1}$  from the  $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$  stretching and the  $\text{C}=\text{C}$  stretching of the polymer backbone. The FT-Raman spectra of polycrystalline samples of Monomer II and the corresponding monomer free polymer are shown in figure 3. Monomer II shows vibrational bands at  $2261\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{C}\equiv\text{C}}$ ) and  $1588\text{cm}^{-1}$  ( $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{C}}$ ). The monomer free polymer showed two peaks at  $2099\text{cm}^{-1}$  from  $\text{C}\equiv\text{C}$  stretching and  $1481\text{cm}^{-1}$  from  $\text{C}=\text{C}$  stretching. The complete Raman shift assignments for monomers I and II are shown in Tables II and III respectively.

**Insert Tables I, II, and III**

## Conclusion

Two novel PDAs containing second order NLO active chromophoric side groups and exhibiting second order NLO properties have been synthesized and characterized. Powdered samples of PDAs I and II exhibit effective  $d$  coefficients that are 7 and 20 times smaller relative to powdered urea at 1.064  $\mu\text{m}$ . In addition, noncentrosymmetric LB bilayers of monomer I and monolayers of PDA I which exhibit  $d_{33}$  coefficients of 12pm/V and 23pm/V respectively have been prepared.

**Acknowledgement.** We are grateful to The Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund and the NSF Division of Materials Research for financial support. Experimental assistance from B. Bihari and M. Cazeca is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- (1) Carter, G.M; Chen, Y.J.; Rubner, M.F.; Sandman, D.J.; Thakur, M.K.; Tripathy, S.K. *Nonlinear Optical Properties of Organic Molecules and Crystals, Vol. 2* Academic Press: New York, 1987; p 85.
- (2) Chance, R.R.; Shand, M.L.; Hogg, C.; Silbey, R. *Phys. Rev.* **1980**, B22, 3540.
- (3) Wegner, G.; *Naturforsch, Z.* **1969**, 24B, 824.
- (4) Patel, G.N. *Polym. Prepr., Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.* **1978**, 19, 154 .
- (5) Nakanishi, H.; Matsuda, H.; Okada, S.; Kato, M. *Nonlinear Optics of Organics and Semiconductors, Vol. 36* Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1989, p 155.
- (6) Chodkiewicz, W.; Cadot, P.C.R. *Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci.* **1955**, 241, 1055.
- (7) Sherwood, D.W.; Calvin, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1942**, 64, 1350.
- (8) Jeng, R.J.; Chen, Y.M.; Kumar, J.; Tripathy, S.K. *J. Macromol. Science-Pure and Applied Chemistry.* **1992**, A29, 115.

## Figure Captions

Figure 1. Chemical Structure of the Diacetylene Monomers


Table I.  $d_{eff}$  and  $d_{33}$  of the diacetylene monomers I and II and their corresponding polymers.

Table II. Raman shifts of Monomer I.


Table III. Raman shifts of Monomer II.

Figure 2. FT-Raman spectra of monomer I (top) and monomer free polymer (bottom).

Figure 3. FT-Raman spectra of monomer II (top) and monomer free polymer (bottom).




**MONOMER 1**




**MONOMER 2**

# SYNTHESIS OF MONOMER I:


Formation of Diacetylene Linkage:



Formation of Chromophoric Linkage:





Formation of Monomer I:






# SYNTHESIS OF MONOMER II:


Formation of Diacetylene Linkage:



Formation of Chromophoric Linkage:



Formation of Monomer II:



---

	Monomer I	Partial Polymer I	Monomer II	Partial Polymer II
d <sub>eff</sub>	36	7.0	16	20
d <sub>33</sub>	12pm/V	23pm/V	—	—

---

$$d_{eff} = \left( I_{Urea}^{2w} / I_{Sample}^{2w} \right)^{0.5}$$

<u>Raman Shifts</u> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	<u>Molecular Vibration</u>
2261	C≡C stretching of monomer
1588	C=C stretching of monomer
1446	N=N stretching of trans azo group
1340	sym. (N=O) <sub>2</sub> stretch

Raman Shifts (cm<sup>-1</sup>)

2261

1592

1335

1284

Molecular Vibration

C≡C stretching of monomer

C=C stretching of monomer

sym. (N=O)<sub>2</sub> stretch

C-C stretch of biphenyl bridge




Fig 1




fig 2